Washington Current Systems and Chronic Care Management Recommendations Ratings

Recommendations with Impact Rating of 4.0+

2.   Access to Information
A.   Provide both technology to access information and people to interpret when needed (i.e., navigators)

Impact	4.1
Feasibility	3.2
Timeframe	3.5
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	0

D.   Build on system of community providers and supports with training to assist individuals and their families and caregivers in accessing appropriate services and supports and make linkages among systems; provide training for health care staff to know where to direct older adults, persons with disabilities, families and caregivers in need of long term care information, referral to services or supports

Impact	4.3
Feasibility	3.3
Timeframe	3.3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	1

4.   Access to Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services and Supports That Contribute to Eliminating Health Disparities
C.   Identify ways to recruit more direct service workers, from underserved cultural, ethnic, and language communities; examine methods for improving

Impact	4.1
Feasibility	3.0
Timeframe	3.2
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	0

5.   Quality Services and Supports
D.   Establish incentives for educating and reimbursing geriatricians to prepare for the growing number of older adults and to most appropriately address

Impact	4.1
Feasibility	3.3
Timeframe	3.8
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	0

6.   Care Management
A.   Enhance care management to integrate medical and social case management

Impact	4.3
Feasibility	3.6
Timeframe	3.3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	2

B.   Develop better linkages between acute and long term care, family caregivers, and palliative care

Impact	4.1
Feasibility	3.2
Timeframe	3.5
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	1

C.   Invest in care management with cross-discipline capacity (e.g., using virtual teams, or interdisciplinary teams) for people with multiple chronic

Impact	4.3
Feasibility	3.4
Timeframe	3.3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	2

7.   Financing
A.   Integrate financing with care delivery to align incentives for quality and prevention

Impact	4.2
Feasibility	3.1
Timeframe	4.0
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	2

B.   Do not rely solely on capitated approaches

Impact	4.3
Feasibility	3.6
Timeframe	3.0
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	1

C.   Allow for flexibility in providing services by removing funding silos

Impact	4.4
Feasibility	2.6
Timeframe	3.3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	1

D.   Ensure fair and adequate of Medicaid long term care payment rates, including rates for difficult/complex cases (e.g, compare rates for long term care services and supports vs. hospital rates for difficult/complex cases)

Impact	4.1
Feasibility	2.8
Timeframe	2.4
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	2

Washington Current Systems and Chronic Care Management Recommendations Ratings

Recommendations with Impact Rating of 4.0+

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

E.   Base rates on the individual's level of acuity, not setting
          Impact   4.1
          Feasibility   3.2
          Timeframe   3.5
          Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   2

K.   Fund community residential options to offset the institutional bias of Medicaid
          Impact   4.3
          Feasibility   3.2
          Timeframe   3.5
          Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   1

9.   Evidence-Based
C.   Invest in evidence-based public health approaches to help avoid or delay onset of chronic conditions
          Impact   4.1
          Feasibility   3.6
          Timeframe   3.3
          Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   2

12.   Mental Health
B.   Increase availability of mental health services, particularly geriatric- and child-psychiatric services
          Impact   4.3
          Feasibility   3.5
          Timeframe   2.9
          Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   1

D.   Develop statewide efforts to identify, treat, and support people with chronic depression
          Impact   4.3
          Feasibility   3.5
          Timeframe   3.2
          Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   0

13.   Protection of Vulnerable Individuals
F.   Make available immediate respite options
          Impact   4.0
          Feasibility   3.0
          Timeframe   2.6
          Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   0

G.   Pilot or implement a Public Guardian program in Washington State to provide guardianship services to low-income persons who need guardianship services.
          Impact   4.0
          Feasibility   3.7
          Timeframe   2.5
          Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   1

15.   Others
C.   Develop specialized housing options for specific populations
          Impact   4.0
          Feasibility   3.2
          Timeframe   3.4
          Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   1

E.   Pursue and support policies that increase the availability of accessible housing stock. DSHS and CTED should work together on policy recommendations for the Long-Term Care Task Force that address the need for increasing accessible housing.
          Impact   4.1
          Feasibility   3.8
          Timeframe   3.3
          Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   2

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term

Washington Current Systems and Chronic Care Management Recommendations Ratings

Recommendations Mentioned 3+ Times Among Top Five Recommendations

1. Self-Determination/Person Centered Focus
A.   Review current program practices and incorporate self-management into system design, assessments and decision-making process
·   Include mechanisms to ensure flexibility to respond to person centered planning.

Impact	3.7
Feasibility	3.1
Timeframe	3.0
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	4

3. Support for Informal Caregivers/Families
A.   Increase funds for adult day services, respite, support groups, training, and other caregiver supports, especially in rural areas. Assure appropriate models for all areas of the State.

Impact	3.9
Feasibility	3.6
Timeframe	2.6
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	4

6. Care Management
F.   Implement the Intensive Chronic Care Management model statewide through Area Agencies on Aging for people receiving Medicaid in-home services.

Impact	3.9
Feasibility	3.7
Timeframe	3.1
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	3

7. Financing
I.   Create enhanced rates for service providers in rural areas that may not have the economies of scale to provide the efficiencies realizable in urban areas.

Impact	3.6
Feasibility	3.1
Timeframe	4.0
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	3

10. Technology
G.   Invest in technology with long-term care providers that will enable them to participate in electronic health care data systems and the electronic exchange of clinical information

Impact	3.8
Feasibility	3.1
Timeframe	3.5
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	3

11. Other Strategies
A.   Build on current successes and systems in place (e.g., health records, integrated care demos, AAA pilots)

Impact	3.5
Feasibility	3.7
Timeframe	2.7
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	3

12. Mental Health
C.   Capture and incorporate the recommendations of the Mental Health Transformation Workgroup

Impact	3.7
Feasibility	3.3
Timeframe	2.7
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	4

13. Protection of Vulnerable Individuals
H.   Strengthen the investigation and protection law to enable Adult Protective Services (APS) to be more proactive and to have better coordination with

Impact	3.8
Feasibility	3.5
Timeframe	2.5
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations	3

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Washington Current Systems and Chronic Care Management Advisory Group Recommendations Ratings

1. Self-Determination/Person Centered Focus

A. Review current program practices and incorporate self-management into system design, assessments and decision-making process
- Include mechanisms to ensure flexibility to respond to person centered planning.

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	1	3	5	3	5	5	4	5	5	2	2	3	5	3	2	3	5	5	5	19	3.7	5
Feasibility	3	3	na	3	4	5	3	4	2	1	2	1	3	3	na	2	5	3	5	17	3.1	3
Timeframe	4	2	5	2	4	3	4	1	4	5	5	1	3	3	1	4	2	3	1	19	3.0	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *4*

B. Provide training on self-direction, self-determination, and cultural/language sensitivity to direct service workers, individuals receiving direct services and supports, as well as managerial and organizational staff

- Significantly involve individual receiving direct services or supports and their families in the design, delivery and evaluation of all training

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	5	2	2	5	5	4	2	5	4	3	3	5	4	2	5	3	4		19	3.7	5
Feasibility	3	4	2	2	5	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	2	5	3	5	19	3.6	4
Timeframe	2	2	2	4	5	3	4	5	4	5	1	1	5	4	na	2	2	3	2	18	3.1	2

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *1*

C. Develop a comprehensive process for health declarations including but not limited to:
- Providing information, referral and assistance for major health and chronic care related decisions, including disease prevention, health promotion, support services, and end of life decisions
- Conduct outreach to Washingtonians about the availability of a registry for health care declarations (either independently or integrated into existing personal health information systems)
- Assuring portability, while taking into consideration the individual's choice to change directives at various stages in their lifespan or disability

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	4	5	3	4	4	3	2	4	1	1	3	4	3	2	4	5	3	3	19	3.3	4
Feasibility	2	4	na	2	4	2	3	4	na	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	5	2	na	16	3.1	2
Timeframe	5	1	5	4	3	5	3	5	2	5	na	2	5	3	na	4	2	5	5	17	3.8	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.
Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

Washington Current Systems and Chronic Care Management Recommendations Ratings

2. Access to Information

A. Provide both technology to access information and people to interpret when needed (i.e., navigators)

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	2	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	19	4.1	4
Feasibility	2	4	0	2	5	2	4	3	4	3	4	2	3	4	na	4	4	3	5	18	3.2	4
Timeframe	3	1	5	4	5	5	3	4	3	3	1	4	3	4	5	3	4	5	2	19	3.5	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   **0**

B. Conduct outreach directly to the community, particularly through places people already might look for information or at points they most need information (e.g., critical pathways to long term supports and services, such as hospital discharge) about the availability of a central source of information -- Aging and Disability Resource Centers (ADRCs)

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	1	3	1	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	3	4	5	3	4	5	5	4	3	19	3.8	4
Feasibility	5	4	3	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	2	2	5	3	na	4	5	3	3	18	3.7	4
Timeframe	3	2	3	2	5	4	2	4	4	1	3	4	1	3	5	3	1	5	3	19	3.1	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   **0**

C. Explore interactions with and the role of 2-1-1

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	n.a	1	2	5	5	4	3	2	5	na	2	4	1	4	3	4	2	4	4	17	3.2	4
Feasibility	4	4	2	5	5	4	3	5	5	na	3	3	2	4	na	4	5	3	5	17	3.9	5
Timeframe	1	5	3	2	5	4	2	3	2	na	3	2	1	4	4	2	2	5	3	18	2.9	2

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   **1**

D. Build on system of community providers and supports with training to assist individuals and their families and caregivers in accessing appropriate services and supports and make linkages among systems; provide training for health care staff to know where to direct older adults, persons with disabilities, families and caregivers in need of long term care information, referral to services or supports

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	5	na	3	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	4	5	4	3	4	5	4	4	18	4.3	5
Feasibility	2	4	2	2	5	3	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	2	4	5	2	2	19	3.3	4
Timeframe	4	3	3	4	5	4	2	1	4	1	1	4	3	4	5	3	4	5	3	19	3.3	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   **1**

E. Provide training for information, referral and assistance staff regarding long term care options and counseling about options, as well as the impact of cultural diversity on the decision-making process. Utilize information, referral, and assistance staff, who are already trained and experienced with these services, as resources and trainers.

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	3	1	3	5	5	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	5	4	4	5	4	19	3.6	3
Feasibility	4	4	1	3	5	4	4	4	5	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	4	2	4	19	3.4	4
Timeframe	2	1	5	2	5	3	3	3	1	1	2	4	3	3	5	4	4	3	3	19	3.0	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   **0**

F. Develop a tailored approach to assuring access for children and a smooth transition protocol when they age into the adult system. Children should receive appropriate supports in their community, rather than being placed in institutions with adults.

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	2	2	2	5	5	4	2	3	4	5	3	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	19	3.9	5
Feasibility	3	4	na	3	5	3	3	4	3	3	4	2	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	18	3.4	3
Timeframe	2	3	4	3	5	4	3	4	4	1	1	5	5	4	5	4	5	3	3	19	3.6	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   **0**

<mark>G. Evaluate current websites on health and long term care resources and issues to determine the need for additional website development. Publicize websites available to assist people with information, services and supports</mark>

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	19	74%
No	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	19	26%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	2	na	na	4	4	3	4	2	3	1	2	5	5	na	5	4	3	3	16	3.4	5
Feasibility	4	3	4	na	3	3	3	4	2	3	1	3	5	5	na	5	5	3	4	17	3.5	3
Timeframe	1	2	1	na	3	4	3	3	3	na	2	1	5	na	5	2	3	3	16	2.8	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   **1**

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.
Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

Washington Current Systems and Chronic Care Management Recommendations Ratings

3. Support for Informal Caregivers/Families

A. Increase funds for adult day services, respite, support groups, training, and other caregiver supports, especially in rural areas. Assure appropriate models for all areas of the State.

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	4	4	2	5	4	5	3	3	4	5	5	4	3	5	3	4	3	5	19	3.9	3
Feasibility	5	4	5	1	5	3	5	1	3	4	5	5	2	3	5	3	2	3	5	19	3.6	5
Timeframe	1	1	1	4	5	4	1	1	3	2	1	2	5	3	4	3	4	2	3	19	2.6	1

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *4*

B. Evaluate self-assessment tools for caregivers to determine if new materials are needed. Distribute materials and provide linkage to available training through information and assistance networks (which may include Aging and Disability Resource Centers (ADRCs))

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	3	na	1	4	3	3	3	4	1	1	4	5	3	4	1	2	3	3	18	2.8	3
Feasibility	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	2	1	1	3	4	3	3	3	5	3	4	19	3.2	3
Timeframe	1	2	2	3	4	3	2	3	5	na	3	2	3	5	3	2	3	3		18	2.9	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

C. Evaluate increasing access to informal caregivers through alternative means, such as seniorcorps, nursecorps and other volunteer

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	1	2	1	3	3	1	4	2	2	3	1	3	1	4	4	5	4		19	2.7	4
Feasibility	1	2	1	3	3	4	2	4	2	1	3	1	3	2	4	5	4	4		19	2.7	3
Timeframe	1	3	3	2	4	5	3	5	4	5	na	1	5	3	2	3	4	3	3	18	3.3	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

D. Develop a clearinghouse for information on caregiver needs and preferences

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	2	na	2	3	5	2	1	2	1	4	3	5	3	1	3	5	5	4	18	2.9	2
Feasibility	1	4	na	2	3	2	2	1	2	1	4	3	3	3	2	na	5	3	3	17	2.6	3
Timeframe	1	4	3	4	3	5	5	3	2	5	2	2	5	3	3	3	4	4	3	19	3.4	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

E. Develop a web-based Chronic Care and Caregiver's Support Center, which would be a resource for information on providers, and that would also collect and report client-reported quality information on those providers.

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	na	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	18	72%
No	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	na	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	18	28%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	1	3	3	3	4	2	na	3	5	na	na	1	4	4	na	4	5	5	4	15	3.4	4
Feasibility	2	3	5	1	4	3	na	5	4	na	na	3	4	4	na	4	5	3	3	15	3.5	3
Timeframe	1	3	1	5	4	4	na	1	4	na	na	4	5	4	na	4	4	4	3	15	3.4	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *1*

F. Consider the costs to a family of providing informal supports, instead of the family's income.

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	na	18	22%
No	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	na	18	78%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	na	na	3	na	5	na	na	na	na	5	1	na	na	4	na	1	4	3	na	8	3.3	3
Feasibility	na	na	na	na	5	na	na	na	na	5	1	na	na	4	na	na	4	3	na	6	3.7	5
Timeframe	na	na	2	na	5	na	na	na	na	1	na	na	na	4	na	2	5	3	na	7	3.1	2

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *1*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail solication process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

4.   Access to Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services and Supports That Contribute to Eliminating Health Disparities

A.   Provide additional resources (not limited to financial) to build the community services system, such as technical assistance and

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	3	1	2	4	4	4	3	4	5	3	3	3	4	3	2	5	5	3	19	3.3	3
Feasibility	1	5	1	2	4	3	3	2	4	3	2	3	3	4	3	2	5	3	4	19	3.0	3
Timeframe	1	1	2	5	4	3	3	4	4	2	3	4	5	4	4	1	4	4	4	19	3.3	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

B.   Reduce financial and procedural barriers to accessing services through specialized information and assistance.

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	1	4	2	3	3	2	3	1	5	4	4	4	5	3	5	4	5	4	4	19	3.5	4
Feasibility	1	4	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	1	4	3	3	5	4	5	3	4	19	3.1	3
Timeframe	1	2	2	2	3	5	3	3	4	3	2	3	5	3	5	4	2	4	3	19	3.1	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

C.   Identify ways to recruit more direct service workers, from underserved cultural, ethnic, and language communities; examine methods for improving retention; and partner with underserved communities to develop a method to take into account individuals' cultural

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	3	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	3	4	4	3	2	5	4	4		19	4.1	5
Feasibility	1	4	4	4	4	3	4	1	3	3	2	2	3	4	2	2	5	3	3	19	3.0	4
Timeframe	1	3	2	2	4	5	3	5	4	1	1	3	5	4	5	2	4	4	3	19	3.2	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

D.   Encourage community colleges to couple Fundamentals of Caregiving and Certified Nursing Assistant training with English as a

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	19	74%
No	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	26%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	na	1	3	3	4	na	2	5	4	1	4	5	4	4	2	5	5	na	16	3.4	4
Feasibility	5	na	4	3	3	3	na	5	3	3	1	4	5	4	3	3	5	3	na	16	3.6	3
Timeframe	2	na	3	5	3	4	na	1	4	1	na	2	5	4	5	3	2	4	na	15	3.2	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

E.   Actively encourage consumers in articulating what they understand to be "health" and their traditional methods of addressing health

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	19	79%
No	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	19	21%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	na	na	1	3	5	3	1	3	4	na	5	4	3	na	2	5	5	3	15	3.4	3
Feasibility	2	na	na	1	3	3	4	3	2	2	na	3	3	3	na	2	5	3	4	15	2.9	3
Timeframe	2	na	5	5	3	5	5	1	4	2	na	4	5	3	na	4	5	4	3	16	3.8	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

5.   Quality Services and Supports

A.   Develop meaningful indicators (e.g., measures of satisfaction, whether the individual would recommend the service to someone else, and dignity) and the data systems to collect them

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	3	3	4	2	4	3	1	5	3	1	4	5	3	5	3	5	3	4	19	3.3	3
Feasibility	2	5	3	2	2	5	3	2	3	3	1	3	4	3	3	3	5	3	5	19	3.2	3
Timeframe	1	2	3	4	1	4	5	na	5	3	na	4	3	3	5	3	2	3	1	17	3.1	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *1*

B.   Create a CAHPS like system for evaluating long-term care services and supports, including use of evidence-based care.

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	4	5	2	4	2	4	5	4	na	1	5	5	3	5	2	5	3	3	18	3.7	5
Feasibility	3	3	5	1	4	2	4	4	3	na	1	3	5	3	3	2	5	3	3	18	3.2	3
Timeframe	2	3	3	5	4	4	5	4	4	na	na	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	17	3.6	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

C.   Improve state standards for professional practice through re-examination of workforce training and certification

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	2	3	1	3	4	2	1	3	3	3	1	5	4	1	3	5	3	2	19	2.8	3
Feasibility	1	3	2	1	3	1	3	2	4	3	1	3	5	4	3	3	5	2	3	19	2.7	3
Timeframe	5	2	3	2	2	5	5	2	3	3	na	2	5	4	2	2	4	4	4	18	3.3	2

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

D.   Establish incentives for educating and reimbursing geriatricians to prepare for the growing number of older adults and to most appropriately address their health, wellness, and chronic care needs

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	19	79%
No	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	21%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	3	na	na	na	5	5	1	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	3	16	4.1	5
Feasibility	4	4	na	na	na	4	4	1	2	4	3	3	3	4	2	4	4	3	3	16	3.3	4
Timeframe	4	3	2	na	na	4	4	na	5	2	1	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	3	16	3.8	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

E.   Complete the IP Registry, make it available to private pay people and further develop capacity for emergency/back up

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	19	63%
No	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	19	37%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	na	4	na	na	5	4	na	1	2	3	na	4	3	2	na	4	4	3	5	13	3.4	4
Feasibility	na	4	na	na	5	4	na	4	4	3	na	1	3	2	na	3	4	4	5	13	3.5	4
Timeframe	na	3	2	na	5	4	na	2	3	3	na	5	5	2	na	3	2	3	1	14	3.1	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *2*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a resilt, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

6. Care Management

A. Enhance care management to integrate medical and social case management

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	na	5	5	5	2	5	4	5	5	2	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	3	18	4.3	5
Feasibility	na	3	3	5	2	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	5	4	5	3	3	18	3.6	3
Timeframe	na	1	2	2	1	5	5	4	3	3	2	5	4	4	5	3	4	4	3	18	3.3	4
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				2		

B. Develop better linkages between acute and long term care, family caregivers, and palliative care

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	na	4	5	4	5	5	1	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	2	18	4.1	5
Feasibility	3	na	1	5	4	1	4	5	2	3	2	4	3	3	4	3	5	3	3	18	3.2	3
Timeframe	5	na	4	2	3	5	3	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	5	3	5	4	3	18	3.5	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				1		

chronic conditions, who are severely frail, have high costs and/or those for whom placement has failed multiple times, possibly through adult day services approaches

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	3	5	5	3	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	2	19	4.3	5
Feasibility	3	4	na	5	2	3	4	4	2	4	4	4	3	4	2	4	3	3	3	18	3.4	4
Timeframe	5	3	5	3	2	5	3	3	3	1	1	3	5	4	4	4	2	4	3	19	3.3	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				2		

D. Develop united approach to people with the combination of chronic disease, substance abuse, mental health and long term care needs

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	4	4	5	2	5	5	4	2	5	5	4	3	4	5	3	3	5	2	19	3.9	5
Feasibility	4	4	na	5	2	3	3	1	1	3	1	3	2	4	5	3	3	4	3	18	3.0	3
Timeframe	5	2	5	4	2	5	3	3	5	1	1	5	5	4	5	2	4	4	3	19	3.6	5
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				1		

E. Develop a professional certification for chronic care management

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	1	2	1	2	1	3	3	3	3	1	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	2	19	2.7	3
Feasibility	3	2	5	2	2	1	3	3	4	3	3	5	2	4	5	3	5	3	2	19	3.2	3
Timeframe	5	na	2	5	1	4	5	3	4	3	na	3	5	4	5	4	4	4	3	17	3.8	4
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				0		

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	19	79%
No	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	21%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	3	2	5	na	4	5	5	na	3	1	5	3	5	5	2	na	5	na	15	3.9	5
Feasibility	4	5	4	5	na	3	5	3	na	3	2	5	2	5	5	2	na	3	na	15	3.7	5
Timeframe	2	1	2	2	na	4	1	5	na	3	na	1	5	5	4	4	na	4	na	14	3.1	4
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				3		

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	19	63%
No	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	19	37%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	4	2	na	na	5	na	5	5	3	1	5	3	5	5	2	na	3	na	14	3.8	5
Feasibility	4	4	4	na	na	4	na	5	2	3	2	4	3	5	5	3	na	3	na	14	3.6	4
Timeframe	2	2	2	na	na	4	na	1	4	3	na	4	5	5	4	4	na	3	na	13	3.3	4
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				1		

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

October 13, 2006

Washington Current Systems and Chronic Care Management Recommendations Ratings

7. Financing

A. Integrate financing with care delivery to align incentives for quality and prevention

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	2	5	5	5	na	3	5	5	2	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	3	18	4.2	5
Feasibility	3	2	3	5	5	na	2	5	2	3	1	2	2	4	3	4	3	3	3	18	3.1	3
Timeframe	3	na	4	3	5	na	5	5	4	3	1	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	3	17	4.0	5
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				2		

B. Do not rely solely on capitated approaches

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	na	3	na	3	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	3	5	5	5	4	4	17	4.3	5
Feasibility	5	na	5	na	3	2	5	3	4	4	5	5	3	3	4	4	2	2	2	17	3.6	5
Timeframe	3	na	1	na	3	4	1	3	3	1	1	4	3	3	4	4	4	5	4	17	3.0	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				1		

C. Allow for flexibility in providing services by removing funding silos

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	3	5	5	2	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	1	4	5	4	5	5	5	19	4.4	5
Feasibility	4	4	na	5	2	1	2	1	2	3	1	2	1	4	3	4	5	2	1	18	2.6	2
Timeframe	3	3	4	2	1	5	5	1	2	2	1	5	5	4	5	3	3	5	4	19	3.3	5
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				1		

D. Ensure fair and adequate of Medicaid long term care payment rates, including rates for difficult/complex cases (e.g, compare rates for long term care services and supports vs. hospital rates for difficult/complex cases)

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	3	2	3	4	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5	3	19	4.1	5
Feasibility	5	4	1	2	3	3	4	1	4	1	1	3	5	3	2	5	1	3	2	19	2.8	1
Timeframe	3	1	4	5	3	3	3	1	2	1	1	2	3	3	1	2	2	3	3	19	2.4	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				2		

E. Base rates on the individual's level of acuity, not setting

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	4	1	3	5	5	3	3	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	3	4	19	4.1	5
Feasibility	3	4	1	3	5	4	2	1	2	1	1	4	5	4	5	5	5	2	3	19	3.2	5
Timeframe	3	1	5	5	5	4	5	4	3	1	1	4	3	4	4	2	4	5	3	19	3.5	4
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				2		

F. Link payments to outcomes once desired outcomes are identified

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	3	1	5	5	3	4	2	4	5	1	4	1	4	5	4	5	4	4	19	3.6	4
Feasibility	3	3	1	5	4	3	2	1	2	1	1	3	1	4	3	3	4	2	3	19	2.6	3
Timeframe	3	5	5	4	4	5	5	1	3	1	na	4	na	4	5	2	5	5	3	17	3.8	5
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				0		

G. Identify sustainable resources

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	1	4	1	3	3	2	5	na	4	1	5	5	3	3	na	4	na	4	5	16	3.3	4
Feasibility	2	2	1	1	3	2	3	na	3	5	1	1	1	3	na	4	na	2	2	16	2.3	2
Timeframe	5	4	5	5	3	3	5	na	4	5	na	5	5	3	na	2	na	5	4	15	4.2	5
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				1		

<mark>H. Invest in technology in order to create the capacity to generate outcomes in long-term care settings</mark>

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	19	74%
No	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	19	26%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE

7. Financing

																				#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	na	3	4	4	na	4	na	5	4	3	1	4	5	5	4	3	5	2	2	16	3.6	4
Feasibility	na	3	4	2	na	4	na	2	3	3	1	3	2	5	2	3	5	2	3	16	2.9	3
Timeframe	na	4	2	5	na	5	na	3	5	3	na	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	3	15	4.2	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *1*

I.   Create enhanced rates for service providers in rural areas that may not have the economies of scale to provide the efficiencies

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	19	84%
No	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	16%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	na	na	1	3	4	5	4	3	3	5	3	5	3	4	3	3	5	5	3	17	3.6	3
Feasibility	na	na	4	4	3	3	4	5	3	3	3	5	3	4	2	3	5	3	3	17	3.5	3
Timeframe	na	na	1	2	4	3	3	1	2	1	1	1	5	4	5	2	4	3	3	17	2.6	1

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *3*

J.   Pursue a Katie Beckett state waiver to provide community-based services for children

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	na	na	0	0	1	1	na	na	na	na	0	1	na	1	1	1	1	na	1	11	73%
No	na	na	1	1	0	0	na	na	na	na	1	0	na	0	0	0	0	na	0	11	27%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	na	na	1	na	4	3	na	na	na	na	na	4	na	4	5	3	5	na	5	9	3.8	4
Feasibility	na	na	1	na	3	3	na	na	na	na	na	4	na	4	5	3	4	na	3	9	3.3	3
Timeframe	na	na	5	na	3	4	na	na	na	na	na	5	na	4	5	3	5	na	3	9	4.1	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *1*

K.   Fund community residential options to offset the institutional bias of Medicaid

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	na	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	89%
No	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	na	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	11%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	5	3	na	5	3	5	4	na	5	4	5	3	4	5	3	5	4	5	17	4.3	5
Feasibility	2	5	2	na	5	3	3	1	na	2	3	4	3	4	4	3	5	3	2	17	3.2	3
Timeframe	5	3	3	na	5	4	2	3	na	1	1	5	5	4	5	3	4	4	3	17	3.5	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *1*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.
Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

8.   Evaluating Options

A.   Incorporate qualitative aspects into cost-benefit analyses

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	na	1	4	4	4	4	1	4	3	5	3	4	3	na	3	5	4	4	17	3.6	4
Feasibility	2	na	1	2	3	2	4	2	2	3	4	2	4	3	na	4	3	3	4	17	2.8	2
Timeframe	5	na	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	1	4	5	3	na	2	4	3	3	17	3.6	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

B.   Evaluate efficiency in long term care settings

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	na	1	4	4	2	2	5	4	1	5	2	3	3	na	4	2	5	3	17	3.1	2
Feasibility	2	na	5	4	4	2	4	1	5	5	1	2	4	3	na	4	2	2	3	17	3.1	2
Timeframe	5	na	3	4	4	5	5	3	2	5	na	4	3	3	na	3	5	4	3	16	3.8	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

9. Evidence-Based

A.   Identify and evaluate current local evidence-based and proven best practices and assess for potential statewide expansion

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	4	3	4	4	2	5	5	4	1	2	5	5	4	5	2	5	3	4	19	3.8	5
Feasibility	5	3	5	4	4	2	4	5	4	5	1	4	5	4	3	4	5	3	4	19	3.9	4
Timeframe	1	3	2	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	na	4	3	4	5	4	2	3	2	18	3.5	4
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*1*		

B.   Develop a process to continue to identify areas in which to focus on and current effective practices based on agreed upon standards with input from providers and consumes

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	1	na	1	3	2	2	4	5	5	1	1	3	4	3	3	2	5	5	4	18	3.0	1
Feasibility	1	na	1	2	2	2	2	4	4	5	1	4	4	3	4	2	5	3	4	18	2.9	4
Timeframe	na	na	4	2	1	4	5	3	1	5	na	4	5	3	3	4	2	3	3	16	3.3	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*0*		

C.   Invest in evidence-based public health approaches to help avoid or delay onset of chronic conditions

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	1	4	5	5	5	3	5	4	5	1	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	3	19	4.1	5
Feasibility	2	4	2	5	5	3	4	2	4	5	3	4	5	5	2	3	5	4	2	19	3.6	5
Timeframe	na	3	5	1	5	4	3	4	2	5	1	2	3	5	3	3	2	4	4	18	3.3	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*2*		

D.   Align incentives for the widespread adoption of evidence-based practices, possibly through pay for performance methods

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	4	2	5	3	3	4	5	4	1	1	4	5	3	5	4	5	3	3	19	3.5	3
Feasibility	1	4	4	5	2	2	3	3	4	5	1	2	3	3	2	4	5	3	3	19	3.1	3
Timeframe	na	5	3	3	2	3	3	2	2	5	na	4	4	3	4	2	4	3	3	17	3.2	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*1*		

E.   Devote some portion of the institutional civil penalty funds to evidence-based research and dissemination

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	1	3	1	2	na	2	3	1	1	3	na	4	3	3	4	1	2	2	2	17	2.2	1
Feasibility	4	4	4	4	na	3	3	5	4	4	na	2	3	3	1	1	5	2	1	17	3.1	4
Timeframe	1	3	2	2	na	3	3	1	2	4	na	3	5	3	2	5	2	5	1	17	2.8	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*0*		

F.   <mark>Invest in technology in long-term care settings that will result in the capacity to demonstrate evidence-based approaches that help avoid expensive and traumatic episodes such as emergency room visits or inpatient hospitalizations</mark>

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	19	74%
No	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	19	26%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	na	4	5	5	5	5	4	1	3	1	na	4	5	5	5	3	5	2	2	17	3.8	5
Feasibility	na	4	5	2	3	3	2	1	2	4	na	4	5	5	3	3	5	2	3	17	3.3	3
Timeframe	na	3	3	5	3	2	4	1	2	5	na	3	3	5	5	2	2	5	3	17	3.3	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*2*		

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

10. Technology

A. Develop electronic health care data systems in collaboration with long term care systems

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	4	4	5	3	5	na	2	4	3	4	4	5	4	5	2	5	4	3	18	3.8	4
Feasibility	2	4	2	3	3	4	na	4	5	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	2	18	3.3	4
Timeframe	5	5	5	5	3	2	na	3	2	4	3	2	na	4	5	2	5	5	4	17	3.8	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

B. Ensure inter-operability of electronic health care data systems with long term care systems

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	5	2	5	3	5	na	5	5	1	4	4	na	4	5	2	5	4	3	17	3.8	5
Feasibility	1	3	1	1	3	4	na	5	2	5	3	4	na	4	3	3	3	3	2	17	2.9	3
Timeframe	na	5	5	5	3	2	na	5	2	5	2	3	na	4	5	3	5	5	3	16	3.9	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

C. Develop systems to promote sharing and portability of health declarations

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	3	1	4	na	3	5	1	5	2	2	2	4	4	na	5	5	3	3	17	3.4	5
Feasibility	3	5	4	2	na	3	4	5	4	3	4	3	5	4	na	4	5	3	5	17	3.9	4
Timeframe	3	2	5	4	na	4	3	4	1	5	1	4	5	4	na	4	2	3	2	17	3.3	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

D. Develop systems for a "shared health plan" that identifies personal goals about health choices

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	20	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	4	1	4	na	1	4	2	4	1	3	5	1	3	na	4	5	4	4	17	3.2	4
Feasibility	3	5	2	5	na	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	2	3	na	4	5	3	4	17	3.4	3
Timeframe	3	3	3	4	na	5	4	5	2	5	3	2	5	3	na	4	3	3	3	17	3.5	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

E. Ensure that long term care issues and perspective are incorporated into other committees/task force recommendation related to data

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	na	1	3	na	4	4	4	5	1	3	4	3	4	5	4	5	4	3	17	3.5	4
Feasibility	4	na	1	2	na	4	4	5	4	5	2	4	3	4	5	4	5	3	2	17	3.6	4
Timeframe	1	na	5	5	na	4	1	1	1	5	3	4	5	4	4	2	5	3	3	17	3.3	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

F. Provide incentives for health care and long-term care systems to cooperate in the development of e-systems and the appropriate exchange

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	1	5	1	5	na	2	4	3	5	5	1	5	2	4	5	2	5	4	3	18	3.4	5
Feasibility	3	5	1	4	na	2	2	2	2	5	1	2	2	4	2	2	5	3	2	18	2.7	2
Timeframe	na	3	5	4	na	3	5	4	4	3	na	4	5	4	5	4	5	3	3	16	4.0	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

G. <mark>Invest in technology with long-term care providers that will enable them to participate in electronic health care data systems and the electronic exchange of clinical information</mark>

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	19	89%
No	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	11%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	na	4	5	5	na	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	2	5	3	3	17	3.8	4
Feasibility	na	4	3	3	na	5	3	3	3	4	3	2	3	3	2	2	3	3	na	16	3.1	3
Timeframe	na	5	3	5	na	4	3	3	2	2	1	5	5	3	4	5	5	3	2	17	3.5	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *3*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

11. Other Strategies

A. Build on current successes and systems in place (e.g., health records, integrated care demos, AAA pilots)

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	4	1	5	1	3	5	5	5	3	1	5	2	4	5	2	5	3	4	19	3.5	5
Feasibility	5	5	1	5	1	3	5	5	5	3	2	5	3	4	5	2	5	3	4	19	3.7	5
Timeframe	3	1	5	1	1	5	1	1	1	3	na	2	3	4	5	5	2	3	2	18	2.7	1

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   3

B. Provide seed money to develop community pilots with defined outcomes

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	3	2	3	1	3	4	5	5	1	2	2	3	4	na	3	5	3	4	18	3.2	3
Feasibility	3	5	5	2	1	2	3	5	5	1	2	4	3	4	na	3	5	3	3	18	3.3	3
Timeframe	3	1	3	1	1	5	3	1	1	5	3	3	5	4	na	2	4	3	2	18	2.8	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   0

C. Request the Governor hold a summit on central tenets across aging and disability as well as an Aging Readiness Summit to prepare the State for the opportunities and challenges associated with an aging population

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	3	1	1	1	2	4	1	5	1	1	5	4	3	4	3	4	3	2	19	2.6	1
Feasibility	5	5	1	2	1	4	5	5	5	1	4	5	5	3	3	3	5	3	5	19	3.9	5
Timeframe	3	1	1	1	1	2	1	5	1	5	0	2	2	3	5	3	2	3	1	19	2.2	1

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   0

D. Evaluate opportunities and feasibility for private payment of public services (e.g., can Area Agencies on Aging develop a commercial

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	2	1	5	na	1	4	na	3	na	1	3	1	3	3	4	5	3	2	16	2.7	3
Feasibility	4	3	4	4	na	1	4	na	2	na	1	3	1	3	2	4	4	3	2	16	2.8	4
Timeframe	1	5	2	4	na	5	3	na	5	na	na	4	5	3	4	2	4	3	4	15	3.6	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   0

<mark>E. Encourage development of public/private partnership research models and pilot projects</mark>

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	19	95%
No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	5%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	4	na	3	2	4	5	3	3	5	na	3	4	3	3	4	3	5	3	3	17	3.5	3
Feasibility	1	na	4	4	3	5	2	5	4	na	3	4	4	3	4	4	5	3	3	17	3.6	4
Timeframe	1	na	3	3	3	2	5	4	3	na	2	4	5	3	4	2	2	3	3	17	3.1	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   1

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.
Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

12. Mental Health

A.   Develop and provide focused training to direct providers of services and supports about mental health issues

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	3	1	2	5	5	4	1	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	2	19	3.7	4
Feasibility	4	4	2	2	4	4	3	5	4	3	4	5	4	5	3	5	4	5		19	3.9	4
Timeframe	1	2	3	4	5	1	4	5	4	1	1	3	2	4	5	2	2	5	1	19	2.9	1

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *1*

B.   Increase availability of mental health services, particularly geriatric- and child-psychiatric services

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	4	1	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	19	4.3	5
Feasibility	4	4	4	1	5	4	4	3	4	2	4	2	3	5	3	3	5	4	2	19	3.5	4
Timeframe	3	1	2	4	5	2	3	1	3	1	1	5	2	5	5	3	4	3	2	19	2.9	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *1*

C.   Capture and incorporate the recommendations of the Mental Health Transformation Workgroup

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	0	0	na	1	1	na	na	na	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	15	87%
No	0	0	1	1	na	0	0	na	na	na	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	13%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	2	na	1	na	na	5	na	na	na	na	4	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	4	12	3.7	4
Feasibility	2	na	1	na	na	4	na	na	na	na	2	4	4	4	2	3	5	4	5	12	3.3	4
Timeframe	2	na	5	na	na	1	na	na	na	na	2	2	3	4	3	3	3	3	1	12	2.7	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *4*

D.   Develop statewide efforts to identify, treat, and support people with chronic depression

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	na	18	94%
No	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	na	18	6%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	4	1	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	2	5	4	na	18	4.3	5
Feasibility	2	3	2	3	5	2	4	5	3	3	5	5	5	4	3	2	4	3	na	18	3.5	3
Timeframe	5	1	5	5	5	3	3	1	4	2	1	1	2	4	4	3	5	3	na	18	3.2	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations — *0*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

13. Protection of Vulnerable Individuals

A. Empower individuals, caregivers and family members with information about their rights and back-up systems to remove bad actors

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	2	1	3	4	5	5	2	5	5	1	4	5	4	na	3	4	5	5	18	3.8	5
Feasibility	4	2	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	1	2	5	4	na	3	4	4	5	18	3.4	4
Timeframe	2	4	5	4	4	2	4	5	2	1	na	4	3	4	na	3	4	3	1	17	3.2	4
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*0*		

B. Examine the role of Medicaid spend down requirements in financial exploitations

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	na	4	2	2	1	2	3	2	1	1	2	4	3	na	5	na	3	3	16	2.6	3
Feasibility	4	na	4	1	2	2	2	2	5	1	1	4	5	3	na	4	na	3	3	16	2.9	4
Timeframe	1	na	1	5	2	4	5	4	1	5	na	5	3	3	na	1	na	3	2	15	3.0	1
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*1*		

C. Determine adequacy of Protective Services staffing levels; expand to 24/7 coverage, like Child Protective Services

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	2	1	4	3	4	4	2	4	5	5	4	4	4	na	3	4	4	3	18	3.6	4
Feasibility	4	2	2	2	3	4	3	2	2	5	5	2	3	4	na	3	2	3	3	18	3.0	2
Timeframe	2	5	4	5	3	2	3	4	1	1	1	4	3	4	na	3	5	3	4	18	3.2	4
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*0*		

D. Identify Protective Services staff training needs and develop and provide needed training

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	na	1	3	4	4	4	2	4	5	5	5	4	4	na	3	5	4	3	17	3.8	4
Feasibility	5	na	3	3	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	3	4	4	na	2	5	3	3	17	3.8	3
Timeframe	5	na	3	4	4	2	3	4	1	1	1	2	3	4	na	3	2	3	3	17	2.8	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*0*		

E. Develop a Protective Services Registry for information about individuals/entities confirmed as "bad actors"; provide linkage with Washington police department s to integrate into background checks

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	na	1	3	4	3	4	2	5	5	3	4	5	4	na	4	5	4	3	17	3.8	4
Feasibility	5	na	1	3	5	3	3	3	5	3	3	2	3	4	na	4	5	4	4	17	3.5	3
Timeframe	1	na	5	4	5	3	3	3	2	1	3	3	5	4	na	2	2	2	2	17	2.9	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*1*		

F. Make available immediate respite options

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	3	3	3	4	5	4	3	4	5	5	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	19	4.0	4
Feasibility	2	1	2	3	4	5	3	2	5	3	2	3	3	3	4	4	na	2		18	3.0	3
Timeframe	3	2	2	2	4	2	3	2	1	1	1	3	5	3	4	2	2	5	3	19	2.6	2
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*0*		

G. Pilot or implement a Public Guardian program in Washington State to provide guardianship services to low-income persons who need

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	0	0	0	na	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	18	78%
No	0	0	1	1	1	na	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	18	22%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	na	1	na	na	na	na	4	3	5	5	5	4	4	4	3	5	4	na	13	4.0	5
Feasibility	5	na	3	na	na	na	na	4	4	5	3	2	4	4	3	3	5	3	na	13	3.7	3
Timeframe	2	na	3	na	na	na	na	1	2	1	2	3	2	4	4	3	3	3	na	13	2.5	3
Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations																				*1*		

H. Strengthen the investigation and protection law to enable Adult Protective Services (APS) to be more proactive and to have better coordination with law enforcement and court systems

Do you endorse this recommendation?

October 13, 2006

13. Protection of Vulnerable Individuals

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	19	84%
No	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	19	16%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	na	1	na	3	4	na	2	3	5	5	5	5	4	na	2	5	5	3	15	3.8	5
Feasibility	5	na	2	na	3	3	na	4	4	5	3	3	4	4	na	2	5	3	3	15	3.5	3
Timeframe	2	na	4	na	3	2	na	2	2	1	2	3	1	4	na	3	2	4	3	15	2.5	2

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *3*

<mark>I.   The primary/first choice option for respite or APS should involve the person staying in their own home with emergency back up help (not immediately removing the person)</mark>

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	na	1	0	1	1	1	na	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	17	82%
No	0	na	0	1	0	0	0	na	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	17	18%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	na	3	na	5	4	na	na	3	4	na	4	5	4	5	1	3	3	4	14	3.6	3
Feasibility	1	na	3	na	5	2	na	na	4	3	na	4	4	4	5	3	5	3	4	14	3.6	4
Timeframe	4	na	1	na	5	2	na	na	2	2	na	5	5	4	5	3	3	3	1	14	3.2	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

<mark>J.   In order to respect individual choice, interventions should be the most appropriate and least restrictive possible</mark>

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	na	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	89%
No	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	na	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	11%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	na	1	na	5	3	5	na	4	3	na	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	15	3.4	3
Feasibility	3	na	3	na	5	3	5	na	5	3	na	2	3	3	5	3	3	4	4	15	3.6	3
Timeframe	4	na	1	na	5	3	1	na	1	3	na	5	2	3	5	2	3	3	1	15	2.8	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

14. Regulatory/Legal Issues

A. Strong enforcement of licensing requirements needed

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	na	1	3	4	4	na	2	4	5	na	4	5	3	na	3	5	5	3	15	3.6	3
Feasibility	3	na	5	2	4	2	na	1	5	5	na	5	5	3	na	3	5	5	3	15	3.7	5
Timeframe	5	na	2	4	4	2	na	5	1	1	na	3	1	3	na	3	2	1	1	15	2.5	1

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

B. Review cost and benefits of selected regulations (those identified as overly burdensome or costly to providers) through a stakeholder process involving providers and regulators

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	na	1	4	1	3	na	5	4	2	1	4	4	3	4	4	5	1	1	17	3.1	4
Feasibility	5	na	3	4	1	3	na	5	5	2	1	3	4	3	5	4	5	5	2	17	3.5	5
Timeframe	2	na	2	2	1	3	na	1	1	5	na	4	5	3	4	2	2	1	4	16	2.6	2

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

C. Review laws and regulations pertaining to the following issues and make changes to support principles identified:
  - ➢ Medical management
  - ➢ Involuntary commitment
  - ➢ Guardianship
  - ➢ Training, licensure and/or certification requirements

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	na	1	3	2	5	na	5	4	2	3	4	4	4	5	3	5	2	4	17	3.6	5
Feasibility	5	na	1	5	2	5	na	5	5	2	1	4	3	4	4	3	5	2	5	17	3.6	5
Timeframe	1	na	2	3	2	1	na	1	1	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	4	5	2	17	3.2	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

D. Review the recommendations of the Background Checks Task Force for relevancy

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	na	0	0	1	1	1	1	na	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	17	82%
No	0	na	1	1	0	0	0	0	na	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	17	18%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	na	1	na	2	4	na	na	na	2	4	2	3	4	na	3	3	3	na	12	3.0	3
Feasibility	3	na	1	na	3	3	na	na	na	2	1	2	4	4	na	3	4	3	na	12	2.8	3
Timeframe	3	na	5	na	3	2	na	na	na	5	2	3	2	4	na	3	4	3	na	12	3.3	3

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *0*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.

Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

15. Others
A. Develop employment and career development demonstration projects with SSA and CMS

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	na	na	1	3	1	5	na	2	4	2	3	3	1	4	4	3	na	3	3	15	2.8	3
Feasibility	2	na	1	3	1	4	na	5	3	2	1	2	2	4	2	3	na	3	3	16	2.6	2
Timeframe	na	na	5	4	1	2	na	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	2	na	3	3	15	3.7	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *1*

B. Provide chemical dependency treatment services in long term care settings

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	3	1	4	3	3	4	1	3	5	5	4	4	4	1	4	3	3	3	19	3.3	3
Feasibility	5	3	2	1	3	3	4	2	3	5	3	2	3	4	na	4	5	3	4	18	3.3	3
Timeframe	2	2	5	5	3	3	3	5	3	1	1	3	5	4	2	2	3	2	2	19	2.9	2

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *2*

C. Develop specialized housing options for specific populations

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	5	3	3	3	na	4	5	3	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	2	5	3	18	4.0	5
Feasibility	3	1	3	4	na	3	4	2	4	4	1	2	5	5	2	4	4	4	2	18	3.2	4
Timeframe	3	1	3	4	na	3	5	4	4	1	4	5	2	5	5	3	4	2	4	18	3.4	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *1*

D. <mark>Provide incentives for health care provider training in geriatrics, including appropriate prescribing of drugs to older adults using the Beers criteria, development of materials in formats easily read by older adults, and methods for avoiding unintentional "ageism."</mark>

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	na	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	83%
No	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	na	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	17%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	2	3	na	4	4	5	1	4	na	4	5	3	4	5	4	4	4	na	16	3.7	4
Feasibility	3	4	3	na	4	3	4	2	4	na	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	na	16	3.8	4
Timeframe	1	2	3	na	4	2	3	5	3	na	1	2	5	4	5	na	4	4	na	15	3.2	4

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *1*

E. <mark>Pursue and support policies that increase the availability of accessible housing stock. DSHS and CTED should work together on policy recommendations for the Long-Term Care Task Force that address the need for increasing accessible housing.</mark>

Do you endorse this recommendation?

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	PERCENT
Yes	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	na	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	18	89%
No	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	na	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	11%

Please rate this recommendation in terms of its:

Survey #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	#	MEAN	MODE
Impact	3	2	5	na	5	5	4	na	5	5	na	4	3	5	5	3	5	3	4	16	4.1	5
Feasibility	2	4	4	na	4	5	3	na	4	3	na	4	3	5	4	3	4	3	5	16	3.8	4
Timeframe	4	3	2	na	5	1	5	na	1	2	na	4	3	5	5	3	5	3	1	16	3.3	5

Number of Mentions in Top 5 Recommendations   *2*

Response Scales: For Impact and Feasibility, 1 = Least or Lowest and 5 = Highest or Most. For Timeframe, 1 = Short-term and 5 = Long-term.
Note: Recommendations highlighted in yellow had not been brought forward prior to the last Advisory Group Meeting in September. These recommendations were suggested in the e-mail soliciation process. As a result, respondents were asked to indicate whether they endorsed these recommendations.

TOP FIVE

TOP FIVE	1	2	3	4	5
Create exceptional care rate for long term care patients in skilled nursing facilities	Review guardianship process	Strengthen CD services in long term care settings	Strengthen mental health services in long term care settings	Strengthen APS	
2 na	na	na	na	na	
3 5A	Develop technological capacity long term care to measure	spread evidence based practice	Provide better housing options (culturally and accessibly)	Promote walking in the development of communities	
4 6A	6B	7A	9C	2C	
5 7k	7b	7A	3A	3F	
6	Self determination and person centered focus	Technological incorporation is electronic health record	Developing employment and career opportunities	Investing in incentives for rural providers	Mental health issues
7 6F	3A	7D	15D	11A	
8 1A	2D	6G	9A	11A	
9 na	na	na	na	na	
10 13G	13H	7G	15B	15E	
11 na	na	na	na	na	
12 11A	3A	6F	6C	7I	
13 12A	9F	9C	7E	1A	
15 2G	7H	9F	12B	15E	
16 6A	6D	6F	Do not rely solely on capitated approaches	Enhanced rates in rural areas	
17 7E	7D	6C	13B	3E	
18 13E	Pilot demonstrations exploring alternative models	Technology development but not just in LTC	Breaking loan payment	Develop an effective mental health program	
19 13E	5E	7C	3A	15B	
20	Implement money fellows the person (not on list)	5E	7J	12C	Do not pursue public guardianship without resolving disability community concerns

TOP FIVE

TOP FIVE	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth
1	7L	13H	1A	12C	13H
2	na	na	na	na	na
3	5A	10G	15C	15C	Promote walking in the development of communities
4	6A	6B	7A	9C	2C
5	7K	1B	7A	3A	3F
6	1A	10G	15A	7I	12C
7	6F	3A	7D	15D	11A
8	1A	2D	6G	9A	11A
9	na	na	na	na	na
10	13G	13H	7G	15B	15E
11	na	na	na	na	na
12	11A	3A	6F	6C	7I
13	12A	9F	9C	7E	1A
15	2G	7H	9F	12B	15E
16	6A	6D	6F	7B	7I
17	7E	7D	6C	13B	3E
18	11E	10G	Breaking loan payment	12C	Ensure understand needs first-e.g. housing/shelter & saftey needs
19	13E	5E	7C	3A	15B
20	Implement money fellows the	5E	7J	12C	Do not pursue public guardianship without resolving disability community concerns

Recommendation	# of mentions among top 5 ranks	
1A	4	
1B	1	
1C	0	5
2A	0	
2B	0	
2C	1	
2D	1	
2E	0	
2F	0	
2G	1	3
3A	4	
3B	0	
3C	0	
3D	0	
3E	1	
3F	1	6
4A	0	
4B	0	
4C	0	
4D	0	
4E	0	0
5A	1	
5B	0	
5C	0	
5D	0	3
5E	2	
6A	2	
6B	1	
6C	2	
6D	1	
6E	0	
6F	3	
6G	1	10
7A	2	
7B	1	
7C	1	
7D	2	
7E	0	
7G	1	
7H	1	
7I	3	
7J	1	
7K	1	
7L	1	16
8A	0	
8B	0	
9A	1	
9B	0	
9C	2	
9D	1	
9E	0	
9F	2	6
10A	0	
10B	0	
10C	0	
10D	0	
10E	0	
10F	0	
10G	3	3
11A	3	
11B	0	
11C	0	
11D	0	
11E	1	4
12A	1	
12B	1	
12C	4	6
13A	0	
13B	1	
13C	0	
13D	1	
13E	0	
13F	1	
13G	1	
13H	3	
13I	0	
13J	0	6
14A	0	
14B	0	
14C	0	
14D	0	0
15A	1	
15B	2	
15C	1	
15D	1	
15E	2	7